

Low-temperature $\text{Na}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$ from X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data

Avdeev and Kholkin

Electronic paper

This paper is published electronically. It meets the data-validation criteria for publication in Acta Crystallographica Section C. The submission has been checked by a Section C Co-editor though the text in the 'Comments' section is the responsibility of the authors.

Low-temperature Na₄Ti₅O₁₂ from X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data

Maxim Avdeev* and Andrei Kholkin

Department of Ceramics and Glass Engineering, University of Aveiro, Aveiro 3810-193, Portugal
Correspondence e-mail: m_avdeev@cv.ua.pt

Received 10 July 2000

Accepted 19 October 2000

Data validation number: IUC0000297

The crystal structure of the low-temperature Na₄Ti₅O₁₂ (tetrasodium pentatitanium dodecaoxide) phase has been solved and refined from X-ray and neutron powder diffraction data at 295 K. The structure is trigonal, space group *P*3, with *Z* = 1, although it is pseudo-centrosymmetric. The O and Na atoms form a distorted close-packed structure, where Ti atoms occupy octahedral sites.

Comment

Recently, it was reported (Nalbandyan, 2000) that the low-temperature sodium titanate earlier described as Na₂Ti₂O₅ (Batygin, 1967), in fact corresponds to the formula Na₄Ti₅O₁₂. It was found that the compound is stable below *ca* 973 K. Above this temperature, the compound decomposes to Na₈Ti₅O₁₄ and Na₂Ti₃O₇, and does not undergo the phase transition to any high-temperature form, because it was shown that previously described Na₄Ti₅O₁₂ (Werthmann & Hoppe, 1984) does not exist in the Na₂O–TiO₂ system, and this structure type is actually stabilized by doping (Nalbandyan *et al.*, 1998). The X-ray powder pattern of low-temperature Na₄Ti₅O₁₂ was indexed and the parameters of hexagonal unit cell were found to be *a* = 5.319 Å and *c* = 9.556 Å. It was supposed that the structure is *ABAC* or *ABAB* close-packed with oxygen anions, and sodium and titanium occupy octahedral sites. However, neither that guess was checked nor the structure was solved *ab initio*. Therefore, we undertook the study of Na₄Ti₅O₁₂ structure, and the results are reported here. The structure is based on the distorted close-packed layers consisting of large ions (oxygen and sodium) and the titanium ions occupy octahedral voids.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by solid-state method from TiO₂ (anatase form) and Na₂CO₃ at *ca* 923 K (Nalbandyan, 2000). A colourless crystalline powder resulted.

Crystal data

Na₄Ti₅O₁₂
M_r = 522.30
Trigonal, *P*3
a = 5.31997 (14) Å
c = 9.5567 (3) Å
V = 234.24 (1) Å³
Z = 1
D_x = 3.710 Mg m⁻³
Cu *Kα*₁ radiation
λ = 1.54056 Å
Cu *Kα*₂ radiation

λ = 1.54439 Å
Neutron radiation
T = 295 K
White
Specimen shape: flat sheet
Specimen shape: cylinder
18 × 20 × 0.5 mm
20 × 5 × 5 mm
Rigaku D/MAX-B diffractometer
Neutron powder diffractometer

Data collection

Θ–2Θ scans
Time-of-flight scans
IBR-2, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
Specimen mounting: drifted powder on off-cut glass
Specimen mounted in reflection mode

Specimen mounting: loaded powder to vanadium can
Specimen mounted in reflection mode
2θ_{min} = 18.0, 2θ_{max} = 120.0°
Increment in 2θ = 0.02°

Refinement

R = missing
R_p = 6.85
R_{wp} = 9.44
R_{exp} = 4.07
Profile function: pseudo-Voigt
R_p = 6.27
R_{wp} = 5.07
R_{exp} = 2.13
Profile function: Gaussian

60 parameters
Weighting scheme based on measured s.u.'s
(Δ/σ)_{max} < 0.01
Preferred orientation correction: *G*2 + (1 – *G*2)exp(*G*1**a*²*)
Scattering factors from *FULL-PROF* (Version of February 2000; Rodriguez-Carvajal, 1990)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

Ti1–O1 ⁱ	1.82 (5)	Ti3–O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.27 (8)
Ti1–O1 ⁱⁱ	1.82 (5)	Ti3–O4	2.27 (8)
Ti1–O1	1.82 (5)	Ti3–O4 ^{iv}	2.27 (8)
Ti1–O2 ⁱ	1.90 (7)	Ti4–O3 ^v	1.78 (6)
Ti1–O2	1.90 (7)	Ti4–O3 ^{vi}	1.78 (6)
Ti1–O2 ⁱⁱ	1.90 (7)	Ti4–O3 ^{vii}	1.78 (6)
Ti2–O4	1.86 (5)	Ti4–O1	2.18 (8)
Ti2–O4 ⁱ	1.86 (5)	Ti4–O1 ^{viii}	2.18 (8)
Ti2–O4 ⁱⁱ	1.86 (5)	Ti4–O1 ^{ix}	2.18 (8)
Ti2–O2 ⁱ	2.20 (8)	Ti5–O4	1.97 (7)
Ti2–O2	2.20 (8)	Ti5–O4 ^{iv}	1.97 (7)
Ti2–O2 ⁱⁱ	2.20 (8)	Ti5–O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.97 (7)
Ti3–O2	2.02 (5)	Ti5–O3 ^x	2.01 (5)
Ti3–O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.02 (5)	Ti5–O3 ^{xi}	2.01 (5)
Ti3–O2 ^{iv}	2.02 (5)	Ti5–O3 ^{xii}	2.01 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + y, 1 - x, z$; (ii) $1 - y, 1 + x - y, z$; (iii) $-1 - x + y, -x, z$; (iv) $-y, 1 + x - y, z$; (v) $-y, x - y - 1, z$; (vi) $1 - x + y, 1 - x, z$; (vii) $x - 1, y, z$; (viii) $-y, x - y, z$; (ix) $-x + y, -x, z$; (x) $-y, x - y, z - 1$; (xi) $x - 1, y, z - 1$; (xii) $-x + y, 1 - x, z - 1$.

The list of peak positions was obtained from the X-ray raw data using the *XFIT* program (Cheary & Coelho, 1997) and used for indexing by *CRYSFIRE* suite (Shirley, 1999). A hexagonal cell [*a* = 5.31997 (14) Å and *c* = 9.5567 (3) Å] having FOM = 103 was found, in agreement with a previous report (Nalbandyan, 2000). After that, the assumptions on close-packing *ABAC* or *ABAB* structure were checked. Since no systematical absence of reflections was observed, the space group *P*3 (No. 143) having the lowest possible symmetry within the system was chosen. In both cases, all the O atoms were placed at the special positions of close-packed structure, and all the cations were distributed over 12 octahedral voids uniformly. The occupancies of cation positions were considered as variables. However, no agreement of calculated and experimental profiles was obtained in both cases, and the refinement process was unstable,

giving large negative occupancies of some cation sites. Therefore, *ab initio* structure determination has been performed. The structure-factor amplitudes were extracted by *FULLPROF* (Rodríguez-Carvajal, 1990) in profile matching mode with pseudo-Voigt peak shape function (NPROF = 5). To prevent using the data from overlapping reflections, the reflection list was filtered by *OVERLAP* program (LeBail, 1999), and finally 68 F_o values were obtained. Patterson functions interpretation and Fourier syntheses were performed by *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997). All the O atoms and cation sites were revealed and structural model obtained was used for Rietveld refinement with *FULLPROF* (pseudo-Voigt peak-shape function). The distribution of sodium and titanium over cation sites was refined using constraints $\text{occ}(\text{Na}) + \text{occ}(\text{Ti}) = 1$. The reasonable results were obtained: sodium allocated at distorted cubooctahedral voids, whereas titanium occupies octahedral ones.

The time-of-flight neutron data were collected using DN-2 diffractometer at IBR-2 source (Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia). The data were refined using MRSA program (Zlokazov *et al.*, 1992). Since the resolution in the neutron data is rather low and peak overlapping is strong, the simultaneous refinement of all the variables resulted in unstable and mostly divergent process. Therefore, the positional and thermal parameters obtained by X-ray data refinement were fixed, and only the distribution of cations over nine non-equivalent sites was checked by neutron diffraction data refinement. Since sodium and titanium have opposite sign of the amplitude of coherent scattering of neutrons, the atoms can be distinguished easily by neutron diffraction. The refinement converged to the same results: sodium occupies large distorted cubooctahedral voids, forming together with O atoms distorted close-packed structure, whereas titanium occupies octahedral sites (Gaussian peak-shape function, $R_p = 6.27$, $R_{wp} = 5.07$, $\chi^2 = 5.67$).

The final coordinates are close to those obeying the $P\bar{3}$ space group, where there is a pseudocentre of symmetry at the Ti4 atom. A refinement of the structure based on the X-ray data using $P\bar{3}$ space group resulted in no improvement ($R_p = 9.26$, $R_{wp} = 11.3$ and $\chi^2 = 7.62$).

Data collection: *D/MAX-B* (Rigaku, 1992); cell refinement: *FULLPROF* (Rodríguez-Carvajal, 1990); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WINPLOTR* (Roisnel & Rodríguez-Carvajal, 1999).

This work was partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research through grant No. 00-03-32469. The authors thank Dr V. B. Nalbandyan for helpful discussions and Dr A. I. Beskrovnyi for help with neutron data collecting.

References

- Batygin, V. G. (1967). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **12**, 1442–1452.
- Cheary, R. W. & Coelho, A. A. (1997). *XFIT*. Deposited in CCP14 Powder Diffraction Library, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, England, <http://gserv1.dl.ac.uk/CCP/CCP14/index.html>.
- LeBail, A. (1999). *OVERLAP*. Version D. Deposited in CCP14 Powder Diffraction Library, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, England, <http://gserv1.dl.ac.uk/CCP/CCP14/index.html>.
- Nalbandyan, V. B. (2000). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **45**, 675–678.
- Nalbandyan, V. B., Avdeev, M. Yu & Lukov, V. V. (1998). *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.* **43**, 148–153.
- Rigaku (1992). *Rigaku Software for D/MAX-B*. Version 3.0. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. (1990). *FULLPROF*. Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction of the XV Congress of the UICr, Toulouse, France, p. 127.
- Roisnel, T. & Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. (1999). *WINPLOTR*. Version Beta of May 1999. Laboratoire Leon Brillouin (CEA/CNRS), CEA-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette CEDEX, France.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97*. Release 97-2. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shirley, R. (1999). *The CRYSFIRE System for Automatic Powder Indexing: User's Manual*. The Lattice Press, 41 Guilford Park Avenue, Guildford, Surrey GU2 5NL, England.
- Werthmann, R. & Hoppe, R. (1984). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **519**, 117–119.
- Zlokazov, V. B. & Chernyshev, V. V. (1992). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **25**, 447–451.